

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/711,272	11/09/2000	Timothy Norris	62814-A/JPW/GJG	6700
75	90 04/25/2003			
John P White Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036		~ .	EXAMINER	
			MCKENZIE, THOMAS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	. 14
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4 / 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/711,272	NORRIS ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas McKenzie Ph.D.	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or						
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 March 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	Λ	send Jihn				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,5,24-32,50,52-54,61 and 64-91</u> .		IUND J. SHAH				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-4,6,7,15,16,23,58-60 and 62</u> .		RY PATENT EXAMINER				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14,17-22 and 63</u> .	G	ROUP 1 %00				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5.						
10. Other:						

Art Unit: 1624

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicants cancellation of claims 55-57 renders moot the objection made in point #6 of the final rejection. Applicants' deletion of "prophylaxis" from claim 50 overcomes the enablement rejection made in point #10. Applicants' amendment to claim 63, specification they intent to treat tumors overcomes the indefiniteness rejection made in point #11. Applicants' amendments to claims 1, 3, and 5, specifying that the claimed compounds and compositions are of polymorph B only overcomes the art rejections made over Schnur ('498) in points #13-#16. Applicants state in lines 15-19, page 16 that the material of Schnur ('498) is a mixture of polymorphs A and B. Purer materials necessarily differ from impure materials. The motivation to prepare purer material for human use is obvious. However, preparing crystalline modifications and polymorphs is an unpredictable art. There is no objective evidence establishing that any method was known to those skilled in process chemistry field whereby the claimed pure polymorph might have been synthesized. Claim 64 is drawn to treatment of specific cancers by any polymorph of the claimed compounds. These specific cancers are not found in Schnur ('498). .

The Examiner appreciates Applicants' cooperation about the references of IDS #5.

V/emillell

TCMcK April 19, 2003

Arnkud J. Rul

MUKUND J. SHAH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1200